

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,860	03/10/2004	Michael Wefers	01899-P0020D GSW/TMO/DJV	3716
24126 75	590 08/26/2005	5 EXAMINER		
ST. ONGE ST	TEWARD JOHNSTO	KUHNS, SAR	KUHNS, SARAH LOUISE	
986 BEDFORD	STREET			
STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/797,860	WEFERS, MICHAEL			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sarah L. Kuhns	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	. 633		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	'06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		umnliant Amendment (	DTOI: -324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment (	F10L-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	<del></del>	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail lee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		



13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Once the examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983). Such a showing has not been demonstrated here. The arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. In re Shulze, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 965). Applicant is in invited to submit any evidence that demonstrates how the claimed product may be different from that taught by Koshida in the form of an affadavit or declaration.

ESETON 1. CASO

SUPERMECRY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700